0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:0.670  
Janne Groot  
Language.

0:0:1.130 --> 0:0:5.950  
Kim Lompe  
Yeah. Um, is it OK if I leave half an hour earlier because they have another meeting?

0:0:6.750 --> 0:0:7.30  
Kim Lompe  
OK.

0:0:6.660 --> 0:0:8.840  
Janne Groot  
Yeah. So I.

0:0:13.10 --> 0:0:13.660  
Kim Lompe  
Ohh nice.

0:0:9.860 --> 0:0:16.790  
Janne Groot  
Did this workshop yesterday within 1 1/2 hours, so the entire workshop should be doable within 1 1/2 the two hours are a bit.

0:0:17.240 --> 0:0:20.630  
Janne Groot  
And have are a bit of a big margin, so.

0:0:21.20 --> 0:0:24.660  
Janne Groot  
And that that, that should be totally 5 so that.

0:0:24.740 --> 0:0:25.100  
Kim Lompe  
Right.

0:0:25.740 --> 0:0:29.380  
Janne Groot  
I'll. I'll take. I'll keep to that schedule free.

0:0:30.710 --> 0:0:35.370  
Janne Groot  
All right, so everything is running and let me.

0:0:36.230 --> 0:0:45.350  
Janne Groot  
Start with. Welcome everyone. My name is Yana and I am a master student, a technology policy and management at the Tudor.

0:0:46.90 --> 0:0:55.660  
Janne Groot  
I'm doing the complex systems engineering and management master's programme and I'm writing my thesis about transdisciplinary collaborations in climate adaptation project.

0:0:56.390 --> 0:1:13.240  
Janne Groot  
And Ivory Coast is one of my case studies that I am I'm looking into and today the workshop will be focused on reflecting on project collaboration. So your collaboration within the project with each other between work packages etcetera, etcetera.

0:1:14.290 --> 0:1:19.260  
Janne Groot  
And I would like to start with highlighting the goals of the meeting.

0:1:19.940 --> 0:1:50.790  
Janne Groot  
And the main goal is to discuss and reflect on the aspects that are challenging and so forth successful in the projects collaboration, the things that were difficult are things that went well so far in the collaboration of your project and you'll be able to share your experiences with and on that collaboration. And the ultimate goal and the ideal would be if you walk away with a deeper understanding of the considerations, actions and decisions that are needed for addressing these challenging.

0:1:50.900 --> 0:1:53.0  
Janne Groot  
Respects and then hansing these successful.

0:1:54.170 --> 0:2:4.460  
Janne Groot  
To those articles of this meeting, my goal of the meeting is, of course, to collect as much data as possible for my master's thesis, and they're also would like to.

0:2:6.190 --> 0:2:24.770  
Janne Groot  
Let you know that any consideration, any question, any thing that you would like to share is very much welcome. So please do not hesitate to write anything down. Send the question in the chat or just interrupt me during the presentation or anytime anywhere in the workshop.

0:2:27.0 --> 0:2:44.690  
Janne Groot  
The agenda for today, I would like to start off with the ethical consent, then I'm going to do a short introduction of the previous studies that have been done and that feed into my study today. And I would like to share the results of those previous study.

0:2:45.790 --> 0:2:57.250  
Janne Groot  
I then we will do the main exercise of the workshop today. This will take the most time of the workshop and we will end with a plenary discussion and then a closing, of course.

0:2:58.780 --> 0:3:10.680  
Janne Groot  
So regarding the ethical consent, yesterday I sent you an e-mail with the consent form. I'm just going to give you a quick summary of what's in that consent form.

0:3:11.160 --> 0:3:28.310  
Janne Groot  
Um, basically the discussions and the opinions are transcribed and anonymized, so anything you say today will be anonymized and as well as the project name. So whenever I quote or do a citation in my thesis, this will be completely anonymous.

0:3:28.920 --> 0:3:40.490  
Janne Groot  
Um and ideally we'll not be linked back to you. However, of course the projects are quite unique. So there is a slight risk of reidentification.

0:3:41.660 --> 0:3:56.700  
Janne Groot  
So just so you know that the discussion input and analysis are published in my thesis and also the ideas to get a scientific publication out of this, and this will be on the aggregated and anonymized way.

0:3:57.570 --> 0:4:19.210  
Janne Groot  
Um, the discussion transcripts are started to two years, and if you feel at any point during the workshops that topics that are discussed contain sensitive information that you would rather not share, you can indicate this at anytime during the workshop or up to two weeks after the workshop. And then we'll just delete anything that was sensitive.

0:4:20.740 --> 0:4:32.210  
Janne Groot  
So if you want to participate in this workshop, please send these signed consent form back to me via e-mail before June 30th. That's the date 2 weeks from now.

0:4:33.550 --> 0:4:35.400  
Janne Groot  
Are there any questions about ethical concern?

0:4:38.850 --> 0:4:42.610  
Janne Groot  
OK, great. Then I'll move on to the introduction.

0:4:43.300 --> 0:5:7.640  
Janne Groot  
So my thesis is about transdisciplinary collaborations and these are specifically the collaborations between the academics and stakeholders from practise in a variety of problems, and I am focusing on climate adaptation and agriculture specifically is focusing on the water management of the farming industry in the Netherlands.

0:5:9.80 --> 0:5:24.650  
Janne Groot  
And the goal of these collaborations is ideally to produce knowledge that is directly relevant for practise. So instead of in academia, we tend to produce theoretical knowledge and very abstract concept.

0:5:25.400 --> 0:5:34.30  
Janne Groot  
However, these are not necessarily directly translatable to the practical application. So the goal of this transdisciplinary collaboration is to.

0:5:35.740 --> 0:5:53.380  
Janne Groot  
Produce knowledge that is applicable in these practises. When you look at the stakeholder diagram for agric post, you see that there are a very big variety of stakeholders involved in this project, so this is definitely very much a transdisciplinary collaboration effort.

0:5:54.790 --> 0:5:55.170  
Janne Groot  
So.

0:5:56.660 --> 0:5:59.340  
Janne Groot  
The previous study that has been done.

0:6:0.150 --> 0:6:8.660  
Janne Groot  
Um in November, December and January of last year. So 2022 and 2023.

0:6:9.980 --> 0:6:26.230  
Janne Groot  
There have been interviews with involved actors of agricoles. These were mostly the leaders of the work packages and the interviews focused on uncovering and asking about things that were challenging and aspects that were so far successful.

0:6:26.930 --> 0:6:46.350  
Janne Groot  
And what I did is I used this interview data as input for my master thesis. So I reviewed and re reviewed the interviews and trying to synthesise and summarise the challenges and successes that were encountered in your projects collaboration.

0:6:46.970 --> 0:6:53.570  
Janne Groot  
And and that functioned as the input and basis for my thesis.

0:6:54.680 --> 0:6:55.440  
Janne Groot  
So.

0:6:56.440 --> 0:7:27.190  
Janne Groot  
This study is about collecting your experiences and perceptions on these challenges and successes. So rather than just identifying them, I'm trying to go one step deeper and try to uncover what your experiences are with these challenges and successes, and we're going to try to identify the similarities and differences between your experiences and what this means for your collaboration. And also we are going to discuss possible improvements and changes.

0:7:27.290 --> 0:7:37.30  
Janne Groot  
Such as actions and decisions that are needed to overcome these challenges and amplify the effects of these successes to achieve the objectives of the project.

0:7:38.170 --> 0:7:38.510  
Janne Groot  
So.

0:7:39.200 --> 0:7:52.740  
Janne Groot  
This is the very brief introduction to this entire workshop. I wanted to keep it short so that you can get on moving and get on thinking about things.

0:7:54.130 --> 0:8:3.0  
Janne Groot  
What I am going to do is I'm going to share with you a mirror link. Are you familiar with the use of Miro?

0:8:3.670 --> 0:8:4.50  
Kim Lompe  
Yes.

0:8:4.740 --> 0:8:6.480  
Boris van Breukelen  
I'm not probably.

0:8:7.950 --> 0:8:10.780  
Boris van Breukelen  
Maybe I've done it once, used it once.

0:8:11.240 --> 0:8:13.590  
Janne Groot  
It is relatively straight forward.

0:8:15.320 --> 0:8:15.820  
Janne Groot  
And.

0:8:24.890 --> 0:8:25.320  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

0:8:39.40 --> 0:8:45.470  
Janne Groot  
What we are going to do is we are going to start on the first exercise of today.

0:9:0.70 --> 0:9:1.980  
Janne Groot  
Kyoka, welcome to the meeting.

0:9:2.700 --> 0:9:3.970  
Jouke Velstra  
Good guy.

0:9:6.530 --> 0:9:10.820  
Jouke Velstra  
Ohh my currently driving so that's why I shut off the camera.

0:9:11.440 --> 0:9:12.710  
Janne Groot  
Ohh, OK, OK.

0:9:16.60 --> 0:9:26.430  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yaniv, a couple of questions I think I have to set up or get, let's say signed up, set up your team. Is that what I need to do? What your team name do you know?

0:9:27.780 --> 0:9:28.500  
Janne Groot  
No.

0:9:27.610 --> 0:9:30.820  
Boris van Breukelen  
Or I called. Let's say the main screen with all the.

0:9:31.740 --> 0:9:34.370  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh online dashboards, maybe I could try again.

0:9:35.370 --> 0:9:35.900  
Boris van Breukelen  
Um.

0:9:42.450 --> 0:9:42.980  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh yeah.

0:9:36.340 --> 0:9:44.50  
Janne Groot  
So you should be able to see the presentation at the top and move around and come to the frame with challenges and successes.

0:9:44.690 --> 0:9:45.780  
Janne Groot  
That working out for you.

0:9:46.460 --> 0:9:49.250  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, I think I clicked on the exercise and then?

0:9:50.640 --> 0:9:51.170  
Boris van Breukelen  
OK.

0:9:50.870 --> 0:9:52.910  
Simon Kreipl  
And I'm also a bit last year.

0:9:55.130 --> 0:9:56.750  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh welcome bulls, OK.

0:9:54.380 --> 0:10:1.770  
Janne Groot  
I am going to bring everyone to me and then you will see what I am seeing and then I can guide you a little bit.

0:10:2.460 --> 0:10:2.950  
Boris van Breukelen  
OK.

0:10:5.390 --> 0:10:6.120  
Boris van Breukelen  
Learn.

0:10:7.740 --> 0:10:9.650  
Boris van Breukelen  
Try next time, OK.

0:10:11.60 --> 0:10:11.410  
Simon Kreipl  
Ohh.

0:10:12.90 --> 0:10:15.860  
Boris van Breukelen  
Now this is what I see now, so that seems to work.

0:10:16.510 --> 0:10:22.420  
Janne Groot  
Okay. All right, so in the teams, I'm also still sharing the screen Yoka if you're driving.

0:10:22.550 --> 0:10:23.150  
Janne Groot  
And.

0:10:24.410 --> 0:10:30.100  
Janne Groot  
I don't know if it's why it's to open my room, but what you could do is listen to the discussion.

0:10:30.730 --> 0:10:31.110  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

0:10:44.700 --> 0:10:45.160  
Jouke Velstra  
OK.

0:10:31.740 --> 0:10:45.370  
Janne Groot  
And a just provide your opinion and maybe we can write down what you want to contribute. Otherwise it's still kept in the transcript of this meeting because we're working.

0:10:46.570 --> 0:10:47.820  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah, that's fine. Yeah.

0:10:48.610 --> 0:10:51.120  
Janne Groot  
OK, great, great. Alright.

0:10:52.220 --> 0:10:59.690  
Janne Groot  
So what I would first like to you to do is at the top right, right here.

0:11:0.480 --> 0:11:16.710  
Janne Groot  
We have a voting dots and these voting dots will function as your colour throughout this board so that we can keep everything anonymous, but I can still track somewhat who said what and what your function is.

0:11:17.400 --> 0:11:19.610  
Janne Groot  
So I have a few rows.

0:11:20.710 --> 0:11:48.80  
Janne Groot  
In these voting dogs, such as coordinator A researcher, a PhD, a farmer or a water board employee, and there are some options for other functions, or a combination of functions and what I would like to ask you is to choose the function that best describes your role within the agriculture project and that will be your caller throughout the board.

0:11:49.960 --> 0:11:51.690  
Janne Groot  
And once you've done that, you can.

0:11:52.310 --> 0:12:12.650  
Janne Groot  
And take a look at the question that's posted below and that question is how would you rate so far the below aspects of the collaboration and these aspects are the effectiveness or the ability to succeed and produce the intended results and the efficiency for the ability to achieve the results without wasting resources.

0:12:14.580 --> 0:12:15.200  
Kim Lompe  
How do I?

0:12:14.640 --> 0:12:15.250  
Janne Groot  
So.

0:12:18.990 --> 0:12:19.520  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh yeah.

0:12:15.950 --> 0:12:22.860  
Kim Lompe  
Learning how do I pick? I mean I just remember the colour now right? Or do I have to do something explicitly?

0:12:23.610 --> 0:12:24.80  
Janne Groot  
I'm sorry.

0:12:25.100 --> 0:12:28.620  
Kim Lompe  
My question is like OK, I identified my colour, let's say orange.

0:12:29.130 --> 0:12:29.530  
Janne Groot  
Yes.

0:12:29.960 --> 0:12:32.770  
Kim Lompe  
So now do I click on this or?

0:12:36.10 --> 0:12:37.300  
Kim Lompe  
Ohh OK.

0:12:32.760 --> 0:12:39.870  
Janne Groot  
You can click and drag it to use it as a voting dodge so you can place a dot at the.

0:12:40.700 --> 0:12:50.370  
Janne Groot  
Rating that you would give to the effectiveness and efficiency of the projects collaboration. It's a one to 10 scale.

0:12:51.390 --> 0:12:51.560  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh.

0:12:51.490 --> 0:12:54.180  
Kim Lompe  
And where do I close it? Where do I put it?

0:12:58.940 --> 0:13:0.400  
Boris van Breukelen  
So ohh.

0:13:3.580 --> 0:13:4.60  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

0:13:0.710 --> 0:13:7.930  
Kim Lompe  
Okay, I don't understand. Sorry I have to double cheque again with you. Do not say the first question is then.

0:13:15.410 --> 0:13:15.640  
Janne Groot  
Yeah.

0:13:9.690 --> 0:13:16.470  
Kim Lompe  
Ohh OK its effectiveness or the ability to succeed and produce the intended results? That's the first question and so I have to place my dot.

0:13:17.450 --> 0:13:18.600  
Janne Groot  
Yes, exactly.

0:13:17.970 --> 0:13:21.740  
Kim Lompe  
Into this thing OKI have to read this. OK, thank you.

0:13:23.230 --> 0:13:24.270  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh.

0:13:25.240 --> 0:13:25.650  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh.

0:13:31.600 --> 0:13:32.180  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh.

0:13:36.900 --> 0:13:43.260  
Janne Groot  
So this is also a little small exercise to get used to the way of moving around in my role and the way of.

0:13:44.720 --> 0:13:45.950  
Janne Groot  
Dragging and dropping things.

0:13:46.770 --> 0:13:56.770  
Kim Lompe  
And what do you mean without wasting resources? Do you mean without wasting funding? Or do you mean without wasting resources like water or what do you mean?

0:13:57.600 --> 0:14:7.380  
Janne Groot  
So this is about the your experience in wasting resources. So if you feel like you've wasted resources in terms of water, then of course that is.

0:14:7.500 --> 0:14:7.890  
Janne Groot  
A.

0:14:8.490 --> 0:14:19.90  
Janne Groot  
And that is a waste of a resource, though we are focusing on the collaboration. So I think those experiences mostly will relate to wasting time, wasting money.

0:14:20.590 --> 0:14:23.540  
Janne Groot  
Wasting things resources like that.

0:14:25.620 --> 0:14:26.30  
Kim Lompe  
Okay.

0:14:47.430 --> 0:14:48.160  
Janne Groot  
Alright.

0:14:48.940 --> 0:14:50.180  
Janne Groot  
So I think.

0:14:53.120 --> 0:14:53.400  
Kim Lompe  
With.

0:14:50.860 --> 0:15:21.390  
Janne Groot  
Everyone plays their dogs, so then we can move on to the next part of this first plenary exercise and that is the challenges and successes that I've identified from the interviews and what I am going to do is I'm going to 1st read the challenges out loud and I would like to ask you to place a voting dot with the challenges, three challenges that mostly relate.

0:15:34.850 --> 0:15:35.120  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh.

0:15:21.470 --> 0:15:49.490  
Janne Groot  
To you with which you have the most experience, or you feel the most connected to, or you feel like has the highest priority in your collaboration currently. So I'm going to read them aloud. You can also read them for yourself and if you have any questions about what the challenges mean, you can of course ask them. And I would like to ask you to place 3 voting dots with the challenge.

0:15:50.600 --> 0:15:51.270  
Boris van Breukelen  
OK.

0:15:50.690 --> 0:15:55.790  
Janne Groot  
So the first challenge is work packages work mostly individually.

0:15:58.670 --> 0:16:3.130  
Janne Groot  
The assigning roles in a remote working environment can be difficult.

0:16:4.450 --> 0:16:9.680  
Janne Groot  
There is a difficulty with using language that is understandable for all stakeholders.

0:16:11.250 --> 0:16:15.230  
Janne Groot  
Academic stakeholders have different goals than stakeholders from practise.

0:16:16.510 --> 0:16:21.770  
Janne Groot  
Expectations of timelines for academic stakeholders and stakeholders from practise differ.

0:16:22.840 --> 0:16:28.720  
Janne Groot  
Specific programme wide rules and responsibilities have not been sufficiently divided.

0:16:30.20 --> 0:16:32.620  
Janne Groot  
Learning is not a priority in the project.

0:16:33.400 --> 0:16:35.690  
Janne Groot  
And stakeholders are too far apart.

0:16:39.950 --> 0:16:42.240  
Janne Groot  
Is anyone of these challenges unclear to you?

0:16:44.130 --> 0:16:44.740  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh.

0:16:46.720 --> 0:16:55.460  
Boris van Breukelen  
But we learning is not a priority in the project. Learning what? What do you? Is that scientific advancing or learning is just general learning.

0:16:56.930 --> 0:16:58.70  
Janne Groot  
This is um.

0:16:57.360 --> 0:17:3.480  
Boris van Breukelen  
Let's say improving mutual understanding and I need learning from experiences.

0:17:4.610 --> 0:17:20.280  
Janne Groot  
Yes. So specifically this challenge relates to that mutual learning through collaboration and I want to note with this is that it does not mean that it's not happening. It's just not a priority in the collaboration efforts that are organised.

0:17:19.960 --> 0:17:21.460  
Boris van Breukelen  
Hmm, OK.

0:17:31.700 --> 0:17:32.680  
Janne Groot  
Right. So.

0:17:34.860 --> 0:17:37.760  
Janne Groot  
I see one more rolling down moving around.

0:18:7.830 --> 0:18:8.300  
Janne Groot  
Alright.

0:18:9.130 --> 0:18:9.550  
Janne Groot  
So.

0:18:11.130 --> 0:18:15.710  
Janne Groot  
We then have the same thing for the things that were so far successful.

0:18:16.940 --> 0:18:31.630  
Janne Groot  
I've also synthesised some things about the things that went well in the collaboration. Not everything is a challenge, so again, I'm going to read these aloud. If you have any questions about them, you can of course.

0:18:32.640 --> 0:18:39.290  
Janne Groot  
Ask them, and again, I would like to ask you to place 3 voting dots with the.

0:18:40.260 --> 0:18:45.140  
Janne Groot  
Success is that you feel most related to, so you have the most experience with.

0:18:46.210 --> 0:18:52.260  
Janne Groot  
But the first success is the stakeholders understand the importance of involving different disciplines.

0:18:53.200 --> 0:18:58.720  
Janne Groot  
Acacia facilitates Connexions and involvement of stakeholders that are ready trust each other.

0:19:0.350 --> 0:19:4.560  
Janne Groot  
Stakeholders already trust each other, which increases the ease of collaboration.

0:19:6.200 --> 0:19:10.130  
Janne Groot  
Funding requirements provide structure to the project organisation.

0:19:11.540 --> 0:19:15.80  
Janne Groot  
Stakeholders closely work together to facilitate integration.

0:19:16.220 --> 0:19:21.440  
Janne Groot  
Stakeholders are reflecting on whether they created knowledge is usable outside the project.

0:19:22.260 --> 0:19:26.680  
Janne Groot  
And stakeholders and others outside the project are using the creative knowledge.

0:19:31.840 --> 0:19:32.480  
Boris van Breukelen  
Hmm.

0:21:26.350 --> 0:21:27.100  
Janne Groot  
All right.

0:21:28.560 --> 0:21:42.950  
Janne Groot  
So looking at these challenges and successes, of course, I have interpreted the interview responses from the interviews that were previously conducted and.

0:21:43.680 --> 0:21:53.550  
Janne Groot  
I was wondering if reading through these challenges and successes is anything that you've had you have experienced so far missing from this list.

0:21:54.860 --> 0:22:6.960  
Janne Groot  
And if there is, you can take a post it in your collar that is below the table and you can write down what a challenger success is that is missing from this list for you.

0:23:12.900 --> 0:23:16.390  
Janne Groot  
Well, everyone is writing Yoka. I'm going to quickly ask you.

0:23:18.230 --> 0:23:29.390  
Janne Groot  
The next exercise or would like to put everyone in breakout rooms and I was wondering if it's OK to put you in a breakout room so that you can participate in the discussion via.

0:23:30.170 --> 0:23:36.980  
Janne Groot  
Talking and that the others in your breakout room can write down what you're saying is that OK?

0:23:37.710 --> 0:23:41.670  
Jouke Velstra  
You're you're that's OK. Well, it's the only option, so.

0:23:42.510 --> 0:23:46.160  
Janne Groot  
Yeah, just to make sure that driving still remains safe for you, etcetera.

0:23:46.300 --> 0:23:47.890  
Jouke Velstra  
Ohh yeah, that's up from.

0:23:48.620 --> 0:23:52.260  
Janne Groot  
Okay great. Then the I'll do that in a minute, OK?

0:24:2.340 --> 0:24:2.690  
Janne Groot  
Right.

0:24:7.380 --> 0:24:18.910  
Janne Groot  
If you at any point during the workshop, remember. Ohh wait, this is also something that is not in the list. You can always come back to this part of the board and write it down in the. Is anything missing box?

0:24:19.490 --> 0:24:20.740  
Janne Groot  
Um so.

0:24:22.50 --> 0:24:30.430  
Janne Groot  
I would like to move on to explaining the big exercise for for this workshop and.

0:24:31.590 --> 0:24:40.760  
Janne Groot  
That is below the challenges and successes. So if you move down on the board, you will eventually see that.

0:24:41.900 --> 0:24:42.600  
Janne Groot  
And.

0:24:43.670 --> 0:24:44.540  
Janne Groot  
What this?

0:24:45.860 --> 0:25:1.860  
Janne Groot  
Exercise is about is trying to collect your experiences on a challenge and a success. So what I'm going to ask you to do is in the breakout rooms is to first select one challenge with your team.

0:25:2.590 --> 0:25:15.150  
Janne Groot  
That you all feel most connected to and all feel you have the most experience with and then the idea is to answer the four questions that are on this exercise.

0:25:16.120 --> 0:25:43.530  
Janne Groot  
So the first question is of course, what is your experience with the challenge and this specifically ask you to write down how you experience this challenge in the project's collaboration. And I would like to emphasise that there are no wrong answers so everyone's experience can be different and even contradicting. And personally for my master thesis, I would like to hear them all.

0:25:44.170 --> 0:25:49.400  
Janne Groot  
So that's the first question. You can again use the post it through, write it down.

0:25:50.370 --> 0:25:56.430  
Janne Groot  
Then for the second question, that question is what are? What components relate to the child.

0:25:57.410 --> 0:25:59.730  
Janne Groot  
And these components are.

0:26:0.870 --> 0:26:11.40  
Janne Groot  
Synthesised from literature and they are about the rules and regulations. The personal background, resources, professional experience and trust and relationships.

0:26:11.750 --> 0:26:14.710  
Janne Groot  
And these are conditions that are external to the project.

0:26:15.770 --> 0:26:23.870  
Janne Groot  
We also have requirements that are internal to the project and those are who is not included or who is included.

0:26:24.660 --> 0:26:27.980  
Janne Groot  
How do we work together? How is it brought together?

0:26:28.630 --> 0:26:30.140  
Janne Groot  
Is it usable and practise?

0:26:30.880 --> 0:26:32.450  
Janne Groot  
How did we reflect on this?

0:26:33.110 --> 0:26:37.0  
Janne Groot  
How did we learn from this and how do we share the result?

0:26:38.560 --> 0:26:42.670  
Janne Groot  
And I would like to ask you to write down.

0:26:43.310 --> 0:26:44.550  
Janne Groot  
With your experience.

0:26:45.310 --> 0:27:12.210  
Janne Groot  
Which components relate to the challenge that is central to this exercise? So you can either drag or drop the components into the box and write a short description of how it is related. You can place posted with an explanation. Feel free to use any means that feel most comfortable for you. You can also just have a discussion because I will be also recording the breakout room.

0:27:14.70 --> 0:27:15.290  
Janne Groot  
So that's the second question.

0:27:16.240 --> 0:27:22.410  
Janne Groot  
Then for the third question is what should be changed or improved to address this challenge?

0:27:23.50 --> 0:27:38.130  
Janne Groot  
And this is specifically programme wide, so programme wide, should what should be changed to address the challenge. This can relate again to the external project conditions, the internal project requirements, the way of working and planning.

0:27:38.860 --> 0:27:42.440  
Janne Groot  
The results of the expectations of the project.

0:27:43.950 --> 0:27:53.900  
Janne Groot  
So that's programme wide with when changes are made programme wide, this will have definitely an effect on the way of working you're planning and results personally.

0:27:54.500 --> 0:28:0.920  
Janne Groot  
So that's the 4th question. How would this improvement affect your way of working, your planning and your result?

0:28:3.420 --> 0:28:10.180  
Janne Groot  
And again, I would like to ask you to write this down on a posted and give some context to me for the.

0:28:11.100 --> 0:28:12.330  
Janne Groot  
For how this would affect?

0:28:13.30 --> 0:28:14.310  
Janne Groot  
You specific?

0:28:15.40 --> 0:28:19.690  
Janne Groot  
This is the first part of the exercise. There is a second part which basically is flip.

0:28:20.450 --> 0:28:26.770  
Janne Groot  
And is about a success, so those are again 4 questions, but then about 1 success.

0:28:28.600 --> 0:28:45.510  
Janne Groot  
This is the exercise that we will be doing for two blocks of 20 minutes, so 20 minutes for the challenge in 20 minutes for the success and after that we will reflect with the group on what you've written down, what you've uncovered and then it's already time to close off again.

0:28:46.580 --> 0:28:49.300  
Janne Groot  
Are there any questions about this exercise?

0:28:52.780 --> 0:28:55.320  
Boris van Breukelen  
You want to do this in breakout rooms? Yeah.

0:28:56.400 --> 0:28:56.780  
Boris van Breukelen  
OK.

0:28:55.400 --> 0:28:58.770  
Janne Groot  
Yes, yes. So I will open the breakout rooms in a minute.

0:29:0.590 --> 0:29:1.760  
Janne Groot  
I just wanted to.

0:29:3.390 --> 0:29:5.300  
Janne Groot  
Let you ask your questions if you have any.

0:29:11.150 --> 0:29:11.540  
Janne Groot  
Yes.

0:29:7.290 --> 0:29:12.920  
Boris van Breukelen  
And then in the breakout rooms, we have to discuss among each other the these questions, OK.

0:29:14.110 --> 0:29:14.420  
Janne Groot  
So.

0:29:15.380 --> 0:29:15.770  
Janne Groot  
All right.

0:29:16.510 --> 0:29:26.90  
Janne Groot  
Okay I will be hopping around breakout rooms or if you need me you can send me a question in the team's chat and I will answer it there or come to you.

0:29:27.170 --> 0:29:31.440  
Janne Groot  
There will be a timer on the Myro board, so you will know how much time you have left.

0:29:32.150 --> 0:29:37.610  
Janne Groot  
And again, it's 20 minutes for the challenge and then 20 minutes for the success and we can take a break in between.

0:29:38.940 --> 0:29:39.510  
Janne Groot  
To.

0:29:40.560 --> 0:29:42.370  
Janne Groot  
Get some coffee or do a bathroom break.

0:29:43.210 --> 0:29:51.240  
Janne Groot  
All right, so I am going to open the breakout rooms and you can move there and start deciding what challenge you would like to discuss.

0:29:52.750 --> 0:29:53.130  
Janne Groot  
Okay.

0:29:54.220 --> 0:29:55.260  
Janne Groot  
All right, good luck.

1:9:58.780 --> 1:9:59.50  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh.

1:10:0.220 --> 1:10:1.560  
Boris van Breukelen  
Wasn't it yesterday? Yeah.

1:10:2.410 --> 1:10:3.700  
Boris van Breukelen  
So son can look.

1:10:4.170 --> 1:10:5.470  
Joca Jansen  
Like black babelia.

1:10:5.800 --> 1:10:6.200  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:10:7.840 --> 1:10:9.820  
Joca Jansen  
The castle.

1:10:13.340 --> 1:10:14.60  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, yeah.

1:10:33.270 --> 1:10:34.990  
Joca Jansen  
Adding medical bills that you all English.

1:10:35.70 --> 1:10:36.950  
Joca Jansen  
Nation by getting a bit hard.

1:10:39.650 --> 1:10:40.400  
Joca Jansen  
That. OK, I'll do it.

1:10:40.120 --> 1:10:41.630  
Boris van Breukelen  
Okay yeah.

1:10:43.490 --> 1:10:46.340  
Boris van Breukelen  
I've been I've been a free song talk, yeah.

1:10:45.860 --> 1:10:47.140  
Joca Jansen  
Yeah, I bet social. But.

1:10:47.560 --> 1:10:48.850  
Joca Jansen  
Cut your help to win the lift.

1:10:54.330 --> 1:10:54.730  
Boris van Breukelen  
Hmm.

1:10:48.920 --> 1:10:57.510  
Joca Jansen  
Ohh, I think Andy is for also grappling Shania and crosses Tamil belonging right mad. Take it held that all the 100 and climbing here. No. Yeah.

1:10:58.750 --> 1:10:59.340  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:10:58.400 --> 1:11:0.420  
Joca Jansen  
The diverse grab me something to do.

1:11:1.950 --> 1:11:2.420  
Joca Jansen  
Doesn't.

1:11:1.800 --> 1:11:7.350  
Boris van Breukelen  
And then the time that spilled and it's fair value for beats at this on the yeah.

1:11:12.350 --> 1:11:12.730  
Boris van Breukelen  
No.

1:11:17.460 --> 1:11:17.850  
Boris van Breukelen  
Alright.

1:11:7.750 --> 1:11:21.340  
Joca Jansen  
Bad. That's feeling discussion over Billingham Hole in a cracking the discussion over the thundering and happy. Don't know and you have done so when I'm with clunky and the blight who feel mucky book into her mouth.

1:11:21.960 --> 1:11:23.580  
Joca Jansen  
Nicola spoiling him. How hard.

1:11:24.240 --> 1:11:24.620  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:11:25.370 --> 1:11:25.910  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh yeah.

1:11:24.520 --> 1:11:36.80  
Joca Jansen  
And and I'm at Angel delight and thought process and all that. Yeah. On the short her her break from Rome and crying and missing Horfield father hands and that he acted buying the headset.

1:11:37.230 --> 1:11:41.100  
Joca Jansen  
And I live on the two corner failing the funnels. Faint and beat the other goodnight.

1:11:41.200 --> 1:11:42.80  
Joca Jansen  
Actually, it's not that all.

1:11:42.170 --> 1:11:43.410  
Joca Jansen  
Throwdown a dubiel.

1:11:52.120 --> 1:11:52.500  
Boris van Breukelen  
Hmm.

1:11:52.270 --> 1:11:52.550  
Jouke Velstra  
Sir.

1:11:44.980 --> 1:11:54.470  
Joca Jansen  
Especially as she does waiter for gongs, iPhone, our crowd and Slim Ultimate so that all available. But I I need to see if it's healed could beat my belt and his uncle home.

1:11:55.660 --> 1:11:56.150  
Jouke Velstra  
Got.

1:11:56.660 --> 1:12:0.300  
Joca Jansen  
It appears that well, but that have anything to have.

1:12:0.660 --> 1:12:2.700  
Joca Jansen  
Valerie overwhelmed.

1:12:4.100 --> 1:12:8.640  
Joca Jansen  
And sweet corn, hail, hail line. Hope not. Just need to handle you have.

1:12:8.730 --> 1:12:14.260  
Joca Jansen  
Feeling well, my dad, the sector events needs to have made awareness full violation by just track and that bad.

1:12:15.250 --> 1:12:15.550  
Boris van Breukelen  
Hmm.

1:12:16.460 --> 1:12:23.790  
Joca Jansen  
And the negative belonged from the foundations still takes flag that are filming already filming lane by the sect of on the the whole film in order.

1:12:25.60 --> 1:12:25.610  
Jouke Velstra  
Government.

1:12:27.700 --> 1:12:27.920  
Simon Kreipl  
Ohh.

1:12:24.640 --> 1:12:28.490  
Joca Jansen  
And that they are The Who account of and it's fine. Might work for the sector and the scare account of.

1:12:32.780 --> 1:12:33.130  
Joca Jansen  
Yeah.

1:12:29.140 --> 1:12:33.500  
Jouke Velstra  
I know you gonna cheque about shooting the next support? Not bad back and.

1:12:34.320 --> 1:12:34.830  
Janne Groot  
Alright.

1:12:34.140 --> 1:12:34.860  
Joca Jansen  
And if she?

1:12:36.140 --> 1:12:36.730  
Janne Groot  
Ohh.

1:12:36.130 --> 1:12:38.380  
Jouke Velstra  
Very beautiful. This afternokn yeah.

1:12:38.740 --> 1:12:39.150  
Joca Jansen  
No.

1:12:40.470 --> 1:12:40.680  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:12:40.580 --> 1:12:49.550  
Janne Groot  
Thank you so much for this insightful discussion and for your experiences and sharing everything and writing everything down. So thank you very much for that.

1:12:50.690 --> 1:12:54.920  
Janne Groot  
What I would like to do for the last 7 minutes for Kim is to.

1:12:55.820 --> 1:12:59.110  
Janne Groot  
Do a short reflection on what this type of.

1:13:0.360 --> 1:13:20.350  
Janne Groot  
Sharing experiences and this type of exercise has brought you, and if this brought you any new insights or if you knew everything already, and maybe after that we can do some more discussion of the final things that people wanted to say because we do have two hours, I have nothing planned. If you need to leave, you can.

1:13:20.930 --> 1:13:24.280  
Janne Groot  
Uh, so came starting with you.

1:13:25.830 --> 1:13:28.560  
Janne Groot  
What were your new experiences with?

1:13:29.340 --> 1:13:33.220  
Janne Groot  
Talking about this and have a great discussion about this. I'm doing this type of exercise.

1:13:35.60 --> 1:13:53.760  
Kim Lompe  
I think or it made me realise, first of all better and the challenges and the success because while we don't have asked to think about it, you don't reflect on that way regularly and the 2nd is that I realised at least with the Simon we actually share.

1:13:54.640 --> 1:13:55.980  
Kim Lompe  
These experiences.

1:13:59.90 --> 1:13:59.480  
Janne Groot  
And.

1:13:59.230 --> 1:14:10.320  
Kim Lompe  
The chair was interesting that you're working on something very different, but they mean aspects are very similar and we perceive. So when we have to select those categories like, hey, I chose the same.

1:14:48.760 --> 1:15:17.150  
Boris van Breukelen  
I think we we had to this, we had to discussion not so much maybe on the technologies but more bit zooming out in all the the end goals. So more I think we want. So the benefit is more freshwater and yeah we have discussion for example about the pre treatment system and the required standards to infiltrate water in the groundwater and that this is also a bit of fussy because if you now follow the law.

1:15:17.610 --> 1:15:47.680  
Boris van Breukelen  
A lot is not possible. We have this pre treatment system. It takes our pesticides but of course it does not take out nitrates and then yeah. And and that's a bit unclear. So for example, so the water boards, they also have more flexible standpoint. So they think yeah, it cannot do much harm also because it is degraded. But if you follow the laws and in the rules and the guys in the end who has to permit the system that then there could be a potential problem. So we actually identified we should maybe rather.

1:15:47.760 --> 1:16:15.420  
Boris van Breukelen  
Sooner than later also have a discussion on this with the legislation and and maybe also the government. So what do you want in the end? Do you want more freshwater and then you have to do with if to realise this you have to retreat but it costs a lot of money. But how extensively should you really pretreat the water? If you have to go all the way? Probably it's not. It's too expensive and then you cannot even do this.

1:16:16.60 --> 1:16:27.110  
Boris van Breukelen  
So there should be some flexibility there and and that's something we cannot solve now within a guess, agricoles, but we should even extend the bit with let's say, the lawmakers and keepers.

1:16:28.810 --> 1:16:31.360  
Janne Groot  
And you mean those should be included in the project?

1:16:32.310 --> 1:17:0.80  
Boris van Breukelen  
No, I'm not necessarily in the project, but we shoot at some moments. Yeah, have a discussion on this again to have clear goals also for the science. Also we need to know what what's now really our task should not only the best sides because it would be a bit of a bummer or disappointment if we have built something that works and then and now it's a great success we going to build more and more of these systems and then the perimeter.

1:17:0.160 --> 1:17:16.810  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh yeah, could you bring in nights, rights and then we have to start or we have to extend again or and now and then again it cannot be permitted. So we actually we have to know right rather soon prep but we are far we really should to treat the water and on what components.

1:17:18.500 --> 1:17:23.450  
Boris van Breukelen  
And I think there's a different opinion between the water board said that also see the brackish water is not used.

1:17:25.170 --> 1:17:33.980  
Boris van Breukelen  
What and? And let's say that the the legislation that's more intended to store water in clean freshwater aquifers.

1:17:35.50 --> 1:17:40.810  
Boris van Breukelen  
But never took into account that you can also store freshwater in in brackish water. Heck offers that.

1:17:41.520 --> 1:17:41.920  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:17:44.760 --> 1:17:45.130  
Janne Groot  
OK.

1:17:42.650 --> 1:17:45.810  
Boris van Breukelen  
Are not used in the Netherlands at the moment, so we should have a bit of a.

1:17:46.940 --> 1:17:55.410  
Boris van Breukelen  
That that's quite needed. So that's actually fairly useful. By having this discussion that that's something that that became very clear. Of course we we notice but.

1:17:56.100 --> 1:17:59.940  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, sometimes it gets a bit, you know, out of.

1:18:1.600 --> 1:18:2.520  
Boris van Breukelen  
Scope, yeah.

1:18:0.860 --> 1:18:6.30  
Janne Groot  
And gets out of it does not lead in your perspective specifically, OK.

1:18:5.840 --> 1:18:12.690  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah. Yeah, of course. You're going to focus or we're going to build this. But but in the end, if you want to have impact, to build something that works.

1:18:19.420 --> 1:18:19.720  
Janne Groot  
Yeah.

1:18:14.120 --> 1:18:33.880  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, we need to have also did this. We have to take all these the parameters on boards and also last week also the we had the discussion that was about something relatedly on pathogen removal also met the perimeters and that's not that's the motto butter boards or the provinces but the foods and health authority or the anthelia.

1:18:33.560 --> 1:18:33.890  
Janne Groot  
OK.

1:18:34.610 --> 1:18:34.940  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh.

1:18:34.960 --> 1:18:36.570  
Janne Groot  
I want to ask one more question.

1:18:36.730 --> 1:18:40.40  
Janne Groot  
Um to maybe the group in general, maybe Simon?

1:18:41.750 --> 1:18:47.350  
Janne Groot  
How did you experience discussing the successes instead of only focusing on the challenge?

1:18:51.140 --> 1:19:20.610  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah, I think there was a a good approach and the success or as Kim already mentioned we we kind of shared the our views on on what we thought was when successful. So that's predominantly the facilitation of of or that Acacia facilitate kind of all the requirement that that we kind of have but then we did also in our discussion go to what are still the challenges so that everything is facilitated but also that it's just.

1:19:20.710 --> 1:19:30.10  
Simon Kreipl  
Restricted to to that and that kind of looking over the edges or something and doesn't really happen. And that kind of rethought was because of the.

1:19:31.40 --> 1:19:33.250  
Simon Kreipl  
Um, yeah, a lack of collaboration.

1:19:35.210 --> 1:19:35.520  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah.

1:19:37.640 --> 1:19:38.920  
Janne Groot  
You have to. You might be able to act.

1:19:37.400 --> 1:19:39.300  
Kim Lompe  
In between, in between work packages.

1:19:39.760 --> 1:19:47.230  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah. Between between work? Yeah. Exactly. So everything is facilitated. And and this, I mean, I think we, we we agreed that that.

1:19:48.770 --> 1:19:56.20  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah, this wouldn't. I mean I will research wouldn't be possible without the carrier facilitating all of this, but then kind of in between.

1:19:56.100 --> 1:20:3.430  
Simon Kreipl  
The there is still not that much going on and and I'm I mean there's also time constraints obviously and.

1:20:6.940 --> 1:20:7.370  
Janne Groot  
All right.

1:20:6.940 --> 1:20:14.580  
Kim Lompe  
They're like it's it's like a star or sun, you know, I guess you wanted and all the work pictures. But then between the work pictures, there's not much happening.

1:20:15.860 --> 1:20:17.180  
Kim Lompe  
It's always across.

1:20:17.900 --> 1:20:18.510  
Kim Lompe  
Snake there.

1:20:19.10 --> 1:20:19.660  
Janne Groot  
Via.

1:20:19.280 --> 1:20:21.430  
Kim Lompe  
Centralised system, yeah.

1:20:21.150 --> 1:20:21.560  
Janne Groot  
Yeah.

1:20:25.250 --> 1:20:25.430  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

1:20:22.700 --> 1:20:27.140  
Janne Groot  
OK, interesting. Alright, so it is 1130.

1:20:28.260 --> 1:20:55.490  
Janne Groot  
So this is officially let's say, the end of the workshop. Thank you, Kim. So much for joining. Thank you, everyone so much for joining. We can stay a little longer if we want to have some more discussion or have some more time talking about this, but thank you so much. Please do not forget to send me the signed consent form via e-mail. And I hope you have a good day and.

1:20:55.700 --> 1:20:57.530  
Kim Lompe  
Thank you very much. Have a good day too.

1:20:57.10 --> 1:20:57.830  
Joca Jansen  
OK. Thank you.

1:20:56.930 --> 1:20:58.390  
Janne Groot  
Yes, you're welcome.

1:20:56.810 --> 1:20:58.770  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah. Yeah. Thank you.

1:20:58.330 --> 1:20:58.930  
Simon Kreipl  
Thanks.

1:20:58.480 --> 1:20:58.970  
Kim Lompe  
Bye bye.

1:20:59.660 --> 1:21:0.160  
Joca Jansen  
Bye bye.

1:20:59.810 --> 1:21:0.210  
Jouke Velstra  
My.

1:21:2.530 --> 1:21:8.100  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, I think I'm also content. I don't know if anyone else would like to discuss further, but.

1:21:9.660 --> 1:21:23.750  
Boris van Breukelen  
I guess, um, yeah, it it's, it's good. This workshop to to think about this, to take the time and maybe have some follow up. You also have some other interesting discussions about the continuation of pilots. So that's where we valuable to.

1:21:24.430 --> 1:21:32.940  
Boris van Breukelen  
In some way obtained funding to, you know, not project ends, pilot ends, but finds a ways to extend.

1:21:33.800 --> 1:21:38.640  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah. The monitoring of pilots and and they had already discussed some possibilities there.

1:21:40.60 --> 1:21:40.230  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

1:21:39.730 --> 1:21:40.700  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh yeah.

1:21:42.360 --> 1:21:48.780  
Jouke Velstra  
Ohh no I was was thinking about that. Simon was just saying about the facilitating.

1:21:50.320 --> 1:21:54.400  
Jouke Velstra  
Supposed to working together for the collaboration.

1:21:55.80 --> 1:21:57.380  
Jouke Velstra  
So I think it would be interesting for us.

1:21:58.60 --> 1:22:0.320  
Jouke Velstra  
To evaluate that and then.

1:22:1.50 --> 1:22:2.890  
Jouke Velstra  
See how we can improve on that.

1:22:5.170 --> 1:22:5.630  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah.

1:22:4.980 --> 1:22:9.650  
Jouke Velstra  
Because it would think it would benefit both both sides in obviously.

1:22:10.460 --> 1:22:16.910  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah. Um, I mean, if I, I mean, I can maybe just elaborate with Kim and I were discussing.

1:22:17.970 --> 1:22:27.410  
Simon Kreipl  
So I mean in between like my personal experience from the work package to that is that the communication is is very good whenever there is field work.

1:22:28.660 --> 1:22:37.510  
Simon Kreipl  
I I send hunts an e-mail and and the farmers will know that I'll be there if I need to take samples or something. You know, that's always very well organised.

1:22:38.130 --> 1:22:38.550  
Simon Kreipl  
Ohh.

1:22:39.820 --> 1:22:46.560  
Simon Kreipl  
Um, but, but yeah, that that's kind of where it ends and that we we are hardly know then.

1:22:46.650 --> 1:22:48.950  
Simon Kreipl  
Ohh what's going on?

1:22:50.540 --> 1:22:50.760  
Jouke Velstra  
Ohh.

1:22:49.880 --> 1:22:51.290  
Simon Kreipl  
With the other work packages.

1:22:51.950 --> 1:22:57.630  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah, yeah, I I was just thinking because we once decided to work at the office.

1:22:58.680 --> 1:22:59.40  
Simon Kreipl  
Hmm.

1:22:58.940 --> 1:23:4.50  
Jouke Velstra  
And now and then. But I was thinking maybe we should have a like a working session.

1:23:4.690 --> 1:23:5.210  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah.

1:23:4.820 --> 1:23:7.350  
Jouke Velstra  
Four together and then it's not.

1:23:9.390 --> 1:23:10.20  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah.

1:23:9.750 --> 1:23:14.960  
Jouke Velstra  
I like looking at the model together with Anamika, for example, or.

1:23:15.870 --> 1:23:16.300  
Simon Kreipl  
Hmm.

1:23:16.950 --> 1:23:21.30  
Jouke Velstra  
They forcing the outcomes because last time when you were presenting the results.

1:23:22.60 --> 1:23:25.820  
Jouke Velstra  
A lot of results were also new for me, but it was very.

1:23:29.90 --> 1:23:29.390  
Simon Kreipl  
Hmm.

1:23:26.740 --> 1:23:30.220  
Jouke Velstra  
Interesting to have a discussion on them with each other so.

1:23:31.710 --> 1:23:32.320  
Simon Kreipl  
Yep.

1:23:31.640 --> 1:23:34.960  
Jouke Velstra  
I think we could intensify that, that I think.

1:23:35.620 --> 1:23:36.340  
Jouke Velstra  
We could.

1:23:37.760 --> 1:23:39.330  
Jouke Velstra  
Can you find out faster I think.

1:23:40.220 --> 1:23:47.600  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah. Um, yeah, definitely. I just think that that's also kind of for each work package.

1:23:47.720 --> 1:23:50.800  
Simon Kreipl  
Ohh, you know that that it's also kind of.

1:24:1.80 --> 1:24:1.280  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

1:24:16.670 --> 1:24:16.840  
Jouke Velstra  
Ohh.

1:23:51.660 --> 1:24:23.190  
Simon Kreipl  
Restricted to that, so I mean we discussed also last time these kind of workshops to let other people let the other work packages kind of know what what we are doing. So to present those results also to the to the other work packages maybe. But but what Kim had said then is is that when she hears about the workshop and then it's a lecture kind of one person giving a talking that it's maybe or that she would like more also to be kind of involved than in the thinking.

1:24:23.260 --> 1:24:25.910  
Simon Kreipl  
Process and I mean this is a yeah.

1:24:27.350 --> 1:24:27.560  
Simon Kreipl  
So.

1:24:28.680 --> 1:24:30.230  
Simon Kreipl  
Ohh OK yeah.

1:24:32.440 --> 1:24:32.740  
Simon Kreipl  
Hmm.

1:24:24.190 --> 1:24:34.240  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah, exactly. Yeah. Yeah. I don't feel. So. I was thinking that if you really have working, working sessions together to learn to really work on a topic.

1:24:34.820 --> 1:24:35.100  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah.

1:24:35.890 --> 1:24:36.170  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:24:36.160 --> 1:24:36.460  
Simon Kreipl  
Okay.

1:24:35.860 --> 1:24:44.570  
Jouke Velstra  
Instead of presenting where you're where you are, and at this stage or that really working together, or to try to solve some problems.

1:24:46.380 --> 1:24:46.630  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:24:46.660 --> 1:24:50.210  
Simon Kreipl  
OK, then then I misunderstood. But yeah. Then ohh yeah, that's.

1:24:52.670 --> 1:24:53.200  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah.

1:24:53.130 --> 1:24:55.980  
Boris van Breukelen  
Because again, try to call for bit more regular.

1:25:10.300 --> 1:25:10.520  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

1:24:57.730 --> 1:25:22.860  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, kinds of meetings and I think and also in four thing the supervisors because what what I missed indeed a bit that then some moment you will Fatima were discussing and we did I did not know for example and then I'm also losing a bit the information and I cannot or get them we talk for example with the usual Fatima and then you know it's not a direct communication then between the supervisors of the university and a case of water and then.

1:25:23.480 --> 1:25:23.720  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

1:25:23.410 --> 1:25:37.530  
Boris van Breukelen  
And did you and then add question, did you ask this and this and this and then think, yeah, we should have more direct so that the supervisors also and and the Phd's and occasional water that there's more regular update meetings.

1:25:37.870 --> 1:25:38.160  
Simon Kreipl  
Hmm.

1:25:41.310 --> 1:25:41.560  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

1:25:38.690 --> 1:25:42.660  
Boris van Breukelen  
And that I can also be open. Yeah, maybe some kind of open meetings.

1:25:42.740 --> 1:25:49.350  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah. And then also other work packages can join and they are listening or something.

1:25:50.210 --> 1:25:50.510  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

1:25:50.260 --> 1:25:52.950  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh no, you can see how we can.

1:25:54.170 --> 1:25:54.860  
Boris van Breukelen  
Saturday.

1:26:5.770 --> 1:26:6.50  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

1:25:53.620 --> 1:26:6.290  
Simon Kreipl  
But I think the big problem there is is always the the time constraint. So that's I think, yeah, if I don't know if it helps to have one regular date that's kept free, you know, one regular time.

1:26:7.420 --> 1:26:7.800  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:26:8.930 --> 1:26:15.220  
Simon Kreipl  
But I mean, that's more a kind of I think a problem that you face more than than us PhD.

1:26:17.930 --> 1:26:18.200  
Simon Kreipl  
This.

1:26:17.740 --> 1:26:28.330  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah, but I think if you plan it on a regular basis and then the the outcome is also very good for all for all the people involved.

1:26:29.250 --> 1:26:29.780  
Jouke Velstra  
Then.

1:26:31.120 --> 1:26:34.290  
Jouke Velstra  
Then you make time for it. It's always about prioritising.

1:26:37.260 --> 1:26:37.500  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:26:37.410 --> 1:26:37.610  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah.

1:26:37.450 --> 1:26:43.60  
Jouke Velstra  
Because I thought that the discussion I had with Fatima, for example, was very useful. So.

1:26:44.370 --> 1:26:44.630  
Simon Kreipl  
Hmm.

1:26:43.830 --> 1:26:46.430  
Jouke Velstra  
But also leave for her, but also for ourselves.

1:26:47.450 --> 1:26:52.620  
Jouke Velstra  
And then then you were really happy to move to the next step and the same was that you were.

1:26:54.300 --> 1:27:0.370  
Jouke Velstra  
Presenting your results and then all this new ideas came up. Ohh well this is happening that is happening.

1:27:1.690 --> 1:27:2.80  
Simon Kreipl  
Hmm.

1:27:2.320 --> 1:27:6.300  
Jouke Velstra  
So if you do that on a more regular basis, I think really help.

1:27:7.770 --> 1:27:9.610  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah. No, I yeah, I definitely agree.

1:27:10.780 --> 1:27:11.210  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:27:12.40 --> 1:27:13.50  
Boris van Breukelen  
You have a good one.

1:27:15.360 --> 1:27:15.800  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:27:12.230 --> 1:27:19.730  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah, I have to find the how we can do that. That's the the the main is the main question. How we could do that.

1:27:20.530 --> 1:27:20.850  
Boris van Breukelen  
No.

1:27:22.130 --> 1:27:40.820  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, yeah, it could be every month or something such, I don't know. Maybe even online one hour and then yeah. Acacia presents updates and and then the PhD student present updates and then, you know, we align again and then discuss the next steps.

1:27:41.650 --> 1:27:42.350  
Boris van Breukelen  
Um.

1:27:41.860 --> 1:27:45.870  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah, we're more, yeah. And maybe we could do that. And then you could.

1:27:48.500 --> 1:27:55.530  
Jouke Velstra  
But what we do internally with with one of our projects is that we have just half an hour every week.

1:27:56.630 --> 1:27:58.470  
Jouke Velstra  
Not that we have to do that like this, but.

1:27:58.940 --> 1:27:59.260  
Boris van Breukelen  
Hmm.

1:27:59.120 --> 1:28:3.610  
Jouke Velstra  
Which very shortly discussed the the the the problems you're facing.

1:28:4.300 --> 1:28:12.190  
Jouke Velstra  
And then you can make arrangements with each other to set up another meeting to go into depth on the shirt to topic.

1:28:12.860 --> 1:28:13.160  
Simon Kreipl  
Hmm.

1:28:14.780 --> 1:28:15.70  
Boris van Breukelen  
And.

1:28:14.350 --> 1:28:26.300  
Jouke Velstra  
And I was thinking, for example, you're working on the modelling on Amicus, also working on the modelling, then it would be interesting to discuss, OK, this is where I am with the model. This is where we are with the models.

1:28:30.210 --> 1:28:30.600  
Simon Kreipl  
Hmm.

1:28:27.520 --> 1:28:33.830  
Jouke Velstra  
Ohh, how do we take the next step and that we keep up in pace on the on the modelling for example.

1:28:35.240 --> 1:28:35.490  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah.

1:28:38.750 --> 1:28:39.200  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:28:38.390 --> 1:28:49.40  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah, yeah, I think this is very. I mean, yeah, can be very fruitful. I mean, the more insight you get, the more ideas go around.

1:28:50.10 --> 1:28:50.540  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:28:50.40 --> 1:28:51.140  
Simon Kreipl  
The more good ideas.

1:28:49.950 --> 1:28:56.310  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah, I yeah, I think it could move so very fast, can really accelerate.

1:28:56.800 --> 1:28:57.260  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:28:56.920 --> 1:28:57.260  
Simon Kreipl  
Hmm.

1:29:1.890 --> 1:29:3.70  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah, yeah.

1:28:57.900 --> 1:29:4.10  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, because you're gonna also share the the workloads more efficiently, so you can better collaborate and.

1:29:4.910 --> 1:29:6.330  
Boris van Breukelen  
Who's doing what? Yeah.

1:29:4.220 --> 1:29:9.840  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah, I never do what we always do internally is that if you discuss it with some other people.

1:29:10.670 --> 1:29:17.870  
Jouke Velstra  
God, they're competing fresh or more thresh on on. Looking at the problem. And there are different insights. Looking at the problem.

1:29:18.720 --> 1:29:19.90  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:29:19.630 --> 1:29:23.10  
Jouke Velstra  
Your facing or your trying to solve and then you.

1:29:23.850 --> 1:29:29.80  
Jouke Velstra  
Well, sometimes you cannot solve it, but then it's also good to know that it's very difficult problem.

1:29:29.880 --> 1:29:30.560  
Simon Kreipl  
Yeah.

1:29:30.640 --> 1:29:31.110  
Simon Kreipl  
Sleep.

1:29:34.640 --> 1:29:35.80  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:29:36.890 --> 1:29:37.430  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh.

1:29:38.90 --> 1:29:38.480  
Boris van Breukelen  
Now.

1:29:37.310 --> 1:29:50.90  
Jouke Velstra  
So that says something like monthly. I think it's it would be fine just but then not just presenting things but more put ticket putting it well, this is a topic I really need your help.

1:29:50.790 --> 1:29:52.110  
Jouke Velstra  
Guys help me with that.

1:29:53.410 --> 1:29:53.800  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:29:55.90 --> 1:29:55.920  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, in detail.

1:29:59.640 --> 1:29:59.930  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:29:59.120 --> 1:30:6.760  
Jouke Velstra  
And we did that in the past that we didn't do any presentations. We just introduced a a topic where you're struggling with.

1:30:7.640 --> 1:30:10.270  
Jouke Velstra  
And then you have a discussion on that, that is.

1:30:11.390 --> 1:30:18.520  
Jouke Velstra  
Sharing also a lot of what you did is in in included in that, but you really involve whole team then.

1:30:19.490 --> 1:30:19.790  
Boris van Breukelen  
Hmm.

1:30:22.880 --> 1:30:30.940  
Boris van Breukelen  
Because how many meetings? Where does? Simon. Yeah, OK, we have now it's a bit more. Yeah, but. And yeah, maybe. Yeah. Yeah. OK. So.

1:30:31.650 --> 1:30:38.140  
Boris van Breukelen  
Ohh, I don't know, it could be something we discuss a bit further off emails to see what what, what, what works or what.

1:30:36.520 --> 1:30:40.460  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah, yeah. Cause see how we can set it up and then we can try.

1:30:43.30 --> 1:30:43.290  
Jouke Velstra  
Yo.

1:30:39.340 --> 1:30:49.180  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, maybe if you if you can also discuss with your colleagues what could work. And then I guess and at the university were quite flexible. So certainly when it is online.

1:30:50.430 --> 1:30:56.320  
Boris van Breukelen  
Then we can discuss a bit and then present this as a as a way to go forwards and the communication.

1:30:57.800 --> 1:30:58.170  
Boris van Breukelen  
No.

1:30:59.680 --> 1:31:0.840  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, yeah, yeah.

1:30:58.230 --> 1:31:2.810  
Jouke Velstra  
They're sitting. Improve it. So let's let's just see how that could work, yeah.

1:31:2.450 --> 1:31:3.220  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, yeah.

1:31:4.720 --> 1:31:5.340  
Boris van Breukelen  
OK.

1:31:6.620 --> 1:31:15.350  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah. And then also maybe, I don't know, once more, yeah, there was already present that we can have this the chat, this chat half an hour and the Friday at the end of the month.

1:31:16.210 --> 1:31:18.340  
Boris van Breukelen  
Uh, yeah. To just discuss whatever.

1:31:19.0 --> 1:31:19.550  
Boris van Breukelen  
Stuff.

1:31:20.690 --> 1:31:24.560  
Boris van Breukelen  
I'm also a bit overwhelmed with work. That's the whole problem. Even with the website.

1:31:23.960 --> 1:31:26.730  
Jouke Velstra  
Ohh yeah, that's all. That's the whole problem and that's why we.

1:31:26.240 --> 1:31:26.770  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:31:27.550 --> 1:31:29.860  
Jouke Velstra  
While we have this one project and we have that.

1:31:30.990 --> 1:31:40.320  
Jouke Velstra  
We do that on every Tuesday morning at 9, half an hour, and then it's just half an hour and then we use Trello.

1:31:41.80 --> 1:31:41.500  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:31:52.770 --> 1:31:53.290  
Boris van Breukelen  
OK.

1:31:41.530 --> 1:31:56.10  
Jouke Velstra  
And everyone can introduce a a card with a with a question or something, and then we just discuss those cards and then after half an hour, it's finished again. But that in everyone is free to join in so.

1:31:57.650 --> 1:31:58.0  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:31:57.730 --> 1:32:1.980  
Jouke Velstra  
It really works very well like that and we could do something like that.

1:32:2.760 --> 1:32:6.610  
Jouke Velstra  
But a little bit more. Ohh not that frequent I think but.

1:32:8.210 --> 1:32:8.610  
Simon Kreipl  
Ohh.

1:32:11.300 --> 1:32:11.590  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:32:10.90 --> 1:32:14.220  
Jouke Velstra  
So I think an hour or two hours every month, something like that.

1:32:14.930 --> 1:32:15.780  
Jouke Velstra  
Be fine, I think.

1:32:16.490 --> 1:32:16.830  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:32:17.410 --> 1:32:17.890  
Jouke Velstra  
What time?

1:32:17.590 --> 1:32:18.70  
Boris van Breukelen  
Okay.

1:32:19.400 --> 1:32:24.880  
Jouke Velstra  
And if you want to know more, then you can make some parallel agreements on a on a meeting.

1:32:27.120 --> 1:32:27.380  
Simon Kreipl  
Didn't.

1:32:26.320 --> 1:32:27.540  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah. OK.

1:32:33.80 --> 1:32:33.440  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

1:32:38.600 --> 1:32:38.850  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

1:32:29.10 --> 1:32:44.60  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah. That maybe yeah. If you can put that shortly on e-mail, maybe next week and then I will discuss it with a few of the supervisors here in the pH D's and and then we, you know and then I can roll it out for the entire, let's say Agric coast.

1:32:45.100 --> 1:32:47.390  
Boris van Breukelen  
As as a wife working, we could do now.

1:32:48.240 --> 1:32:48.670  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:32:45.160 --> 1:32:52.370  
Jouke Velstra  
God, I will put it on our on the, on the e-mail. Then you can discuss it on your side and then I will discuss it on our side and then.

1:32:52.30 --> 1:32:52.390  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:32:53.20 --> 1:32:53.310  
Jouke Velstra  
Ohh.

1:32:53.140 --> 1:32:53.430  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:32:54.270 --> 1:32:55.830  
Jouke Velstra  
We we meet somewhere, yeah.

1:32:57.200 --> 1:32:57.620  
Boris van Breukelen  
Okay.

1:32:58.590 --> 1:32:59.260  
Boris van Breukelen  
Alright.

1:33:0.390 --> 1:33:1.580  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah. OK, great.

1:33:1.150 --> 1:33:2.520  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah. OK, good. Yeah.

1:33:5.560 --> 1:33:6.300  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, yeah.

1:33:7.650 --> 1:33:8.980  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah. OK.

1:33:9.670 --> 1:33:10.180  
Boris van Breukelen  
Good.

1:33:13.720 --> 1:33:14.90  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

1:33:13.720 --> 1:33:14.900  
Simon Kreipl  
Thanks a lot. Yeah.

1:33:15.200 --> 1:33:17.230  
Jouke Velstra  
OK. Thank you. Bye.

1:33:17.140 --> 1:33:17.650  
Simon Kreipl  
Thanks.

1:33:17.170 --> 1:33:18.610  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah. Yeah. Bye, bye.

1:33:19.510 --> 1:33:19.850  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:33:17.180 --> 1:33:20.510  
Janne Groot  
Yeah. Thank you. Thank you for joining yoga your car.

1:33:21.650 --> 1:33:22.0  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:33:22.970 --> 1:33:23.260  
Simon Kreipl  
But.

1:33:23.70 --> 1:33:23.480  
Janne Groot  
Great.

1:33:22.670 --> 1:33:25.570  
Boris van Breukelen  
And the success with the rounding of Yunnan?

1:33:22.890 --> 1:33:26.480  
Jouke Velstra  
I'm almost there. Yeah. OK. Thank you. Bye.

1:33:27.310 --> 1:33:27.670  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah.

1:33:26.630 --> 1:33:29.310  
Janne Groot  
Thank you. Thank you. Alright. Have a good day.

1:33:29.430 --> 1:33:30.470  
Boris van Breukelen  
Yeah, you too.

1:33:30.380 --> 1:33:30.660  
Jouke Velstra  
Yeah.

1:33:30.210 --> 1:33:30.770  
Simon Kreipl  
Bye bye.

1:33:31.270 --> 1:33:31.800  
Janne Groot  
Alright.

1:33:31.410 --> 1:33:31.860  
Jouke Velstra  
Bye bye.

1:33:32.480 --> 1:33:33.20  
Janne Groot  
Bye bye.

1:33:31.190 --> 1:33:35.100  
Boris van Breukelen  
Looking forwards to the to your contributions on this Yanna yeah.

1:33:35.100 --> 1:33:35.460  
Janne Groot  
Yes.

1:33:36.260 --> 1:33:36.830  
Boris van Breukelen  
OK.

1:33:37.610 --> 1:33:38.360  
Boris van Breukelen  
Goodbye then.

1:33:38.790 --> 1:33:39.190  
Janne Groot  
Bye.

1:33:39.430 --> 1:33:39.830  
Boris van Breukelen  
Right.